1	Floyd Wallace	
2	1613 Leopard Ln. College Station, TX 77840	
3	(402) 347-0770 floydwallacecivilrights@gmail.com	
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
6	District	
7	FLOYD WALLACE) Case No.: 2:23-cv-00809
8	Plaintiff,) PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED MOTION) TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO
9	v.) MOTION OR IN THE) ALTERNATIVE FOR LEAVE TO
10	LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT, et al.) FILE LATE REPLY; MOTION TO) EXTEND PAGE LIMIT FOR REPLY;
11	,) EXTENDINGE ENTITION REPET,
12	Defendants.)
13	INTRODUCTION	
14	I, Plaintiff Floyd Wallace, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 6, moves this Honorable	
15	Court for an extension of time to reply to Defendant Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department	
16	("LVMPD")'s frivolous Response ("Response") (ECF No. 28) to Plaintiff's Motion for	
17	Sanctions ("Motion") (ECF No. 21). Or in the alternative, for leave to file a late reply, in the	
18	interest of justice. This motion is made and based on the attached Memorandum of Points and	
19	Authorities, the pleadings, and papers on file herein, and any oral argument allowed by counsel	
20	at the time of the hearing.	
21	When emailing the only currently participating defendants, LVMPD, they did not oppose	
22	my moving this court to stay proceedings until I could find counsel. I have not yet found counsel	
23	While my motion for <i>pro bono</i> panel referral was denied, my motion for a stay was	

mistakenly denied as moot, even though it requested a stay even if my motion for *pro bono* was denied (ECF No. 24, 4:2).

I'm requesting until September 25, 2023 or longer if the court grants it, to respond to Defendants frivolous Response, so that I may respond to it with the help of counsel.

Additionally, I have been delayed by being illegally jailed three times in the last 30 days.

Additionally, LVMPD has improperly submitted a lot of "evidence" in their Response that will take me a while to comb through and object to, and they continue to misconstrue facts that requires me to correct just about every "fact" that they have newly alleged or "referenced".

Additionally, since I am currently traveling, I won't even receive the thumb drive they attached, assuming they mailed it to me, until after seven days.

Finally, LVMPD will need at least 21 days to withdraw their Response for a safe harbor period, while I notify them of additional sanctions motions.

Since LVMPD's Response is 11 pages long, if I'm to quote each fallacy when responding to each one, to object to all the improper "evidence" and add some legal argument, my reply is expected to be 22 pages long.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

A. Rule 6 authorizes this Court to extend time. Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 6(b)(1)(B) grants this Court the authority, for good cause, to extend the time before the original time or its extension expires. Courts in this circuit have allowed extensions of time while safe harbor periods run down. Cortese v. H&R Block Tax & Bus. Servs., No. CV 14-7550 PSG (MRWx), 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 200684, at *10-11 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 4, 2015); Slovak v. Golf Course Villas Homeowners Aossication, No. 3:13-cv-00569-RCJ-CBC, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 238421, at *6-7 (D. Nev. Jan. 15, 2019). Here, Plaintiff's Response full of unreasonable inferences that lack

1	any factual basis shows good cause related to a safe harbor period for a valid Rule 11 sanction	
2	motion. I've also mentioned several other good cause reasons for a delay for search of counsel,	
3	traveling, illegal incarceration, and a Response that has probably 100 misconstrued "facts" that	
4	need correcting and objected to.	
5	B. Discretion to accept late filings. The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals considered a	
6	district court's discretion to accept late filings in U.S. v. Heller, 551 F.3d 1108 (9th Cir. 2009).	
7	C. Local rule 7-3 allows a party to request leave to exceed the 12 page limit for	
8	replies. Here, because LVMPD has misconstrued so many facts, it has forced me to provide	
9	lengthy quotations and corrections, requiring more than 12 pages to respond. LVMPD also	
10	attempts to incorrectly submit a lot of documentary evidence that needs to be objected to.	
11	CONCLUSION	
12	Accordingly, Plaintiff respectfully requests this Court:	
13	1. Extend the time to file a Reply to LVMPD's Response until September 25, 2023, or	
14	longer, or in the alternative leave to file a late opposition, and LVMPD does not oppose this.	
15	2. Leave to file a 22 page reply to LVMPD's Response that is filled to the brim with	
16	misconstrued facts and improperly submitted "evidence" requiring correction and objection.	
17		
18	DATED: August 22, 2023 Respectfully submitted,	
19	Floyd Wallace	
20	Floyd Wallace	
21	1613 Leopard Ln. College Station, TX 77840	
22	floydwallacecivilrights@gmail.com (402) 347-0770	
23	Pro Se	